

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2016-010115

07/31/2018

HON. PAMELA GATES

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Ballard  
Deputy

ROBERT J BARON

ROBERT J BARON  
18631 N 19TH AVE  
158-288  
PHOENIX AZ 85027

v.

HONORHEALTH, et al.

ANDREW S ASHWORTH

RULING

The court considered Plaintiff's July 2, 2018 pleading entitled, "Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of SAC Partial Dismissal Ruling" and Plaintiff's July 2, 2018 pleading entitled, "Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of 6/8/18 Ruling Regarding Discovery Items."

Motions for Reconsideration are disfavored. *See Motorola, Inc. v. J.B. Rodgers Mech. Contractors, Inc.*, 215 F.R.D. 581, 582 (D. Ariz. 2003). A Motion for Reconsideration is not to be used to ask the court merely to rethink what it has already thought through. *United States v. Rezzonico*, 32 F.Supp.2d 1112, 1116 (D. Ariz. 1998); *Bryan v. Murphy*, 246 F. Supp. 2d 1256, 1259 (N.D. Ga. 2003) (stating that a motion for reconsideration should not be used "to present the court with arguments already heard and dismissed or to repackage familiar arguments to test whether the court will change its mind"). No circumstances warranting reconsideration exist in this case.

**IT IS ORDERED** denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of SAC Partial Dismissal Ruling.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2016-010115

07/31/2018

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of 6/8/18 Ruling Regarding Discovery Items.